



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/576,990

04/26/2006

R. Andrew Hicks

DREX-1108US

4338

21302 7590 04/24/2009  
KNOBLE, YOSHIDA & DUNLEAVY  
EIGHT PENN CENTER  
SUITE 1350, 1628 JOHN F KENNEDY BLVD  
PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103

EXAMINER

VILLECCO, JOHN M

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2622

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

04/24/2009

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/576,990	<b>Applicant(s)</b> HICKS, R. ANDREW	
	<b>Examiner</b> JOHN M. VILLECCO	<b>Art Unit</b> 2622	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 April 2009.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-17, 19 and 20 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☒ Claim(s) 1, 2 and 4-12 is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 3, 13-17, 19 and 20 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 3 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 26 April 2006 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All    b) ☐ Some \*    c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

### Attachment(s)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)                     | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)           |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____                                      |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)          | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____  | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____                          |

## **DETAILED ACTION**

### ***Response to Arguments***

1. Applicant's arguments, see the response, filed April 9, 2009, with respect to claims 1, 2, and 4-11 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejection of claims 1, 2, and 4-11 has been withdrawn.
2. Applicant's arguments, see the response, filed April 9, 2009, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 3, 13-17, 19, and 20 under 35 U.S.C. 102 have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Stoltz under 35 U.S.C. 103.
3. The finality of the rejection of the last Office action is withdrawn.
4. ***This action is non-final*** due to the new grounds of rejection that was not necessitated by applicant amendment.

### ***Claim Objections***

5. Claim 3 is objected to because of the following informalities:
  - Regarding claim 3, applicant recites the limitation of "the photographic imaging system". The photographic imaging system lacks antecedent basis.Appropriate correction is required.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

7. **Claims 3, 13-17, 19, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stoltz (U.S. Patent No. 5,212,555).**

8. Regarding *claim 3*, Stoltz discloses an image capturing device using a micromirror array for capturing an image. More specifically and as it relates to the applicant's claims, Stoltz discloses an embodiment (Figure 4) in which mirrors of a micromirror array (11) are positioned to reflect a set of pixels (step a; the set comprises the two pixels directed towards image sensors, 15a and 15b) representing locations of scene, photographing the set of reflected pixels with a photographing image systems (step b; image sensors, 15a and 15b), and repeating steps a and b at least once up to a sufficient number of times to provide an image of a desired resolution (the resolution of the captured image; 160x120; col. 5, line 42), and assembling the captured pixels into an image of the scene (using processor, 35). See column 5, lines 47-57.

Stoltz, however, fails to specifically disclose extracting color values from each reflected pixel in the embodiment shown in Figure 4. Stoltz does however, discloses a different embodiment capable of capturing a color image. See Figure 5 and col. 5, line 67 to column 6, line 5. Color images provide more context to an image. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to enable the embodiment shown in Figure 4 of Stoltz to capture a color image as shown in Figure 5 so that a color image may be captured at high speed.

Art Unit: 2622

9. As for **claim 13**, since the system is capable of constructing an image, it is inherent that the extracted color values are correlated to corresponding locations of the scene. See column 5, lines 14-22.

10. Regarding **claim 14**, Stoltz discloses that each micromirror is moved in at least two different tilt directions. See column 5, lines 53-55.

11. With regard to **claim 15**, Stoltz discloses capturing a series of frames for moving images. Inherently, these images would have to be captured as some type of frame rate.

12. As for **claim 16**, Stoltz discloses that a 640X480 pixel image can be captured in 0.2 seconds. See column 5, lines 60-66.

13. Regarding **claim 17**, Stoltz discloses capturing 160x120 pixels. Thus, steps a-c would be performed more than 70 times.

14. As for **19 and 20**, as mentioned above in the discussion of claim 3, Stoltz discloses an embodiment in which R, G, and B colors are captured. See Figure 5.

#### ***Allowable Subject Matter***

15. Claims 1, 2, 4-12 are allowed.

16. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

Regarding claim 1, the primary reason for allowance is that the prior art fails to teach or reasonably suggest that each mirror is capable of tilting individually in at least two different directions to reflect different sets of pixels representing locations of the scene, said micromirror array being positioned with respect to the photographic imaging system so that the mirrors of the micromirror array transfer reflected pixels representing said locations of the scene to be

Art Unit: 2622

photographed to the photographic imaging system, and an assembly system which forms a high resolution image by mosaicing extracted color values from each reflected pixel into a high resolution image.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JOHN M. VILLECCO whose telephone number is (571)272-7319. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sinh Tran can be reached on (571) 272-7564. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2622

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/JOHN M. VILLECCO/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2622  
April 21, 2009